UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,407	05/02/2006	Ian Hynd	64589(50024)	1097
21874 7590 03/23/2010 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 POSTON, MA 02205			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, RYAN C	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/561,407	HYND ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ryan Robinson	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u> 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10-21 and 31-39 is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 2,5,6 and 31-39 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 1,7,8 and 10-21 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  er.  ure: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/21/2006; 8/28/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed on 3/4/2010, with respect to reconsideration of the previous rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The finality of the Office Action mailed on 12/4/2009 has been vacated.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 3. Claims 31-33, 35, 37, and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Corsaro et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,788,794, filed on 10/1/2002, (hereby Corsaro).
- 4. As to claim 31, Corsaro discloses a driver apparatus for driving a distributed mode loudspeaker (Fig. 1b), the driver apparatus comprising: an actuator (12) operable to move in dependence on an acoustic signal; and a coupler (13) formed of a resilient material (Col. 3, line 51), the coupler (13) being configured to, in use, couple movement

of the actuator (12) to an acoustic radiator (10) to cause the acoustic radiator (10) to operate in a distributed mode fashion, in which the coupler (13) has a Shore A hardness of no more than 20 (Col. 6, lines 9-11).

- 5. As to claim 32, Corsaro remains as applied above. Corsaro further discloses that the coupler (13) engages with the actuator (12).
- 6. As to claim 33, Corsaro remains as applied above. Corsaro further discloses that the coupler (13) is configured to engage with the acoustic radiator (12).
- 7. As to claim 35, Corsaro remains as applied above. Corsaro further discloses that the actuator (12) is operative in dependence upon an electrical signal (Col. 4, lines 12-16).
- 8. As to claim 37, Corsaro remains as applied above. Corsaro further discloses that the resilient material comprises a polymer (Col. 3, line 51).
- 9. As to claims 5 and 6, Corsaro remains as applied above. Corsaro further discloses that the coupler has a Shore A hardness between substantially 5 and substantially 15, and a shore A hardness of 10 (Col. 6, lines 9-11).

Art Unit: 2614

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 11. Claims 31, 34 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hynd, et al, WIPO Publication No. WO 2002/074006, published on 9/19/2002, (hereby Hynd).
- 12. As to claim 31, Hynd discloses a driver apparatus for driving a distributed mode loudspeaker (Fig. 4), the driver apparatus comprising: an actuator (11) operable to move in dependence on an acoustic signal; and a coupler (13) formed of a resilient material (Page 5, lines 25-26), the coupler (13) being configured to, in use, couple movement of the actuator (11) to an acoustic radiator (15) to cause the acoustic radiator (15) to operate in a distributed mode fashion, in which the coupler (13) has a Shore A hardness of no more than 20 (The coupler can be a liquid, which inherently has a Shore A hardness of 0).
- 13. As to claim 34, Hynd remains as applied above. Hynd discloses that the coupler (13) defines a substantially planar surface configured to engage with a surface of the acoustic radiator (15).

Art Unit: 2614

14. As to claim 39, Hynd remains as applied above. Hynd further discloses that the coupler defines a substantially planar surface that is configured to removably engage with a surface of the acoustic radiator (16) (Page 4, lines 21-24).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 38 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corsaro et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,788,794, filed on 10/1/2002, (hereby Corsaro).
- 17. As to claims 38 and 2, Corsaro does not explicitly disclose that the resilient material comprises a gel, which is a hydrogel. Examiner takes official notice that hydrogels were known in the art having the required properties of the strips disclosed by Corsaro, as an art recognized equavalent. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to use a hydrogel as the resilient material, as a design choice.
- 18. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hynd, et al, WIPO Publication No. WO 2002/074006, published on 9/19/2002, (hereby Hynd).

Art Unit: 2614

19. As to claim 38, Hynd remains as applied above. Hynd does not explicitly disclose that the actuator comprises a moving coil actuator. However, Hynd does teach that the moving coil actuator is a standard loudspeaker. Examiner takes official notice that it was well known to provide a magnetic coil actuator in a standard loudspeaker. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time of Applicant's invention, to provide a moving coil actuator, as the loudspeaker of Hynd.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 20. Claims 1, 7-8, and 10-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 21. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Dependent claim 1, on which claims 7-8 and 10-21 depend, recite the unique feature of a substantially rigid planar member attached to the voice coil and disposed between the voice coil and the first surface. The closest prior art (Hynd) discloses that the member attached to the voice coil is that of a cone. Although Hynd does teach a separate planar member (14), it is not attached to the voice coil, and is not between the voice coil and a first surface of the coupler (13).

Art Unit: 2614

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record

a. US Patent Number **6,788,794** 

b. WIPO Publication Number WO 2002/074006

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan C. Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 270-3956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/R. R./

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Art Unit: 2614

/CURTIS KUNTZ/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614